

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,619	07/12/2007	James B. Ballard	60379-USA	2581	
Patent Admini	7590 10/05/201	1	EXAM	IINER	
FMC Corporation			LEVY, NEIL S		
1735 Market S Philadelphia, l			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/05/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/593,619	BALLARD ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
NEIL LEVY	1615

	NEIL LEVY	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFR 1.13 after SIX (5) MCNITHS from the mailing date of this communication. - I'NC period for reply is a periodical advore, the machinum statution yeard of any analysis of the provision of the provi	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 18(ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Apr	<u>oril 2011</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) An election was made by the applicant in response	onse to a restriction requirement	set forth during th	e interview on				
the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.							
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) 30-37 is/are pending in the application	١.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) ⊠ Claim(s) 30 and 32-37 is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 31 is/are objected to.	·= ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
9) Claim(s) 30-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
'' _ '							
10)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
Attachment(s)	0.00						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Perex No.(a)/Mail D						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/03)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 03-11) Application/Control Number: 10/593,619

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 30,32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WRIGHT et al WO 2004/064517 in view of COULIBALY or JAETSCH et al.

WRIGHT applies bifenthrin as a liquid termicide when needed, along with acetamiprid (page 2) at instant concentrations & ratios (page 3) to control termites (Table 2).

COULIBALY shows insecticidal equivalence of imidacloprid with acetamiprid (page 2, top and Claim 1).

So does JAETSCH [0027], JAETSCH also provides methods to control termite infestation [0004] with application of liquid compositions [0038] of 5 % imidacloprid or 3 % bifenthrin [0078].

The mixture of two actives to control termites is obvious, since both are effective termiticides.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to practice termite control, to modify the compositions available in order to increase toxicity by combining 2 active termiticide compounds. It is well settled that when 2 or more compounds are each taught to be useful for the same purpose, it is obvious to combine them. In re KERKHOVEN 626 F. 2nd 846, 850, 205. USPQ 1069.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,619

Art Unit: 1615

All the critical elements of the instant are disclosed. The amounts and proportions of each ingredient are result effective parameters chosen to obtain the desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the form of each ingredient to optimize the effect desired, depending upon the particular species and application method of interest, reduction of toxicity, cost minimization, enhanced, and prolonged, or synergistic effects.

Applicant has not provided any objective evidence of criticality, nonobvious or unexpected results that the administration of the particular ingredients' or concentrations provides any greater or different level of prior art expectation as claimed, and the use of ingredient for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not basis for patentability.

Double Patenting

Claims 30 -37 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6, 16,28, of copending Application No. 10/585426. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applications anticipate each other.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,619

Art Unit: 1615

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant's arguments filed 4/28/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. other references do not show the instant low levels. See rejections above; they do, to the extent rejections are maintained.

The invention is to increase termite mortality at low application rates & to provide a chemical barrier to termites, but we do not see this as a result of the methods of control such as at claim 30. 30 ought to also have the limitations as recited in claim 31.

Then such a claim would be again considered for allowability.

Their such a ciaim would be again considered for allowability

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is (571)272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7:15AM to 5:45 PM EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT A. WAX can be reached on 571-272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,619 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/2/2011

/NEIL LEVY/ ART UNIT 1615